

Response of the coastal filter to multiple drivers in the Baltic Sea region

Jacob Carstensen, Aarhus University





Coastal ecosystem = complex ecosyste





How effective is the coastal zone for removing nutrients?











Nitrogen cycling in coastal environments





Concept of the coastal filter





Effectiveness of the coastal filter



- coastal zone removes 16% of N and 53% of P inputs from land by DN and P burial
 - coastal zone is 27% of total area of the Baltic Sea
 - → DN is <u>less</u> efficient, P burial is <u>more</u> efficient in the coastal zone compared to open Baltic Sea

c.f. Gustafsson et al. (2012): whole Baltic Sea removes 87% of N and 78% of P







Asmala et al. 2017



Denitrification rates variability

Coastal types



Rates increase with promiximity to land, but vary by more than two orders of magnitude







Kalmarsund Himmerfjärden Muncken Aarhus Bugt Gulf of Riga Guldborgsund

Ahvenkoskenlahti Rörtangen Storfjärden Gullmar Fjord Paimionlahti Odense Fjord Fehmarn Belt Skive Fjord Kertinge Nor Curonian Lagoon Randers Fjord Randers Fjord



Factors controlling denitrification











Hypoxia reduces DN and can lead to alternative stable states

Source: Jeremy Testa













DN and labile organic carbon









Hellemann et al. 2017



Phosphorus burial in sediments







Forms of phosphorus burial

- Liberated P from the deeper sediments binds to Fe-oxides or escapes to the water column
- Fe-bound P retention decreases with salinity, because Fe-oxides are sequestered into Fe-sulfides
- Currents limit the organic P burial and the formation of

Authigenic





P minerals





Forms of phosphorus burial

- Liberated P from the deeper sediments binds to Fe-oxides or escapes to the water column
- Fe-bound P retention decreases with salinity, because Fe-oxides are sequestered into Fe-sulfides
- Currents limit the organic P burial and the formation of

Authigenic





P minerals



Phosphorus release from sediments





Factors controlling P burial forms

Simulations from Öre River estuary







- Burial forms of P change with
 - Salinity
 - Sedimentation rate
 - Fe inputs from land
 - OM inputs from land

Burial forms of P have different stability

 Increasing Fe inputs and decreasing salinity will enhance vivianite formation

AARHUS UNIVERSITY





Lenstra et al. 2018





- The Baltic Sea coastal zone is very heterogeneous in hydromorphology and nutrient retention
- Estuaries and lagoons are efficient N filters
- Coastal denitrification accounts for ~16% N retention of inputs from land
- P burial occurs as Fe-bound P, <u>apatite</u> and organic P
- The long-term stability of these burial forms is unknown
- Coastal P burial account for ~53% of inputs from land, but this is highly variable among systems depending on sedimentation rates









- Increasing hypoxia with warming will reduce denitrification and enhance Fe-bound P release
- Temperature increase may enhance coupled nitrification-denitrification but also promote OM limitation of DN
- Increasing inputs of organic carbon from boreal watersheds are unlikely to enhance denitrification because of low lability
- Increasing freshwater input will enhance P burial in more stable forms such as vivianite in the Gulf of Bothnia





